

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,330	01/22/2002	Collin A. Rich	10989-005	4795	
7590 07/23/2004 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395				EXAMINER	
			IMAM, ALI M		
Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3.,			3737	2	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/054,330	RICH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ali Imam	3737	•		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2002.				
2a) <u></u>		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		4		
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) Ce of References Cited (PTO-892) Ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Cer No(s)/Mail Date <u>5.6</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/054,330

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson et al. (US 6,567,703 B1) in view of Barber et al. (US 6,101,371).

Thompson teaches in col. 5, line 35 - col. 12, line 16, an implantable (see abstract, line 1) microfabricated sensor device for measuring a physiologic parameter of interest within a patient, wherein the sensor comprising: an implantable sensing device, wherein the sensing device being a micro electromechanical system (MEMS) comprising a substrate (col. 11, line 24), an integrated inductor formed on the substrate (col. 11, line 39), at least one sensor responsive to the physiologic parameters (col. 5, line 46) and being formed at least in pad on the substrate, a plurality of conductive paths electrically connecting the integrated inductor with the sensor.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,330

Art Unit: 3737

Thompson fails to mention specifically that the integrated inductor, the sensor and the conductive paths cooperatively defining an LC tank resonator.

Barber teaches such LC tank resonator is well known in the art for suppressing unwanted frequencies (col. 11, lines 31-46).

Thompson and Barber are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor that is MEMS.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify Thomson's MEMS's structure so that it includes a LC tank resonator in order to suppress unwanted frequencies.

The specific limitations of the dependent claims are either inherently or obviously met by either one of the cited references.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Imam whose telephone number is 703-305-0028. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Th., 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Imam

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

AMI 7/16/2004